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Introduction

The gill- and siphon-withdrawal reflex (SWR) in the marine snail Aplysia, together with its underlying neuronal circuitry, has been a valuable model system for the cellular analysis of learning and memory (Kandel, 2001). Neurobiological investigations of two related forms of nonassociative learning in Aplysia, sensitization and dishabituation, have focused primarily on plasticity at the monosynaptic connection between the central sensory and motor neurons that mediate the withdrawal reflex (Walters et al., 1983b; Cohen et al., 1997; Antonov et al., 1999). Noxious stimulation of the tail of Aplysia, which can induce either sensitization or dishabituation of the withdrawal reflex depending on factors such as the age of the animal and the amount of opposing inhibition recruited by the tail stimulation (Mackey et al., 1987; Marcus et al., 1988; Rankin and Carew, 1988; Antonov et al., 1999), activates serotonergic interneurons within the snail’s CNS (Glanzman et al., 1989; Mackey et al., 1989; Marinesco and Carew, 2002). Serotonin (5-HT), released from these monoaminergic interneurons, facilitates transmitter release from the sensory neurons by processes that depend on the second messengers cAMP and protein kinase C (PKC) (for review, see Byrne and Kandel, 1996). As well as facilitating presynaptic release of transmitter, 5-HT may have postsynaptic facilitatory actions. Previously, we (Chitwood et al., 2001) found that a single, 10 min application of 5-HT to isolated siphon motor neurons in dissociated cell culture caused prolonged (≥40 min) enhancement of the response of motor neurons to glutamate, the sensory neuron transmitter (Dale and Kandel, 1993; Zhu et al., 1997) (but see Trudeau and Castellucci, 1995). The facilitation of the glutamate response in isolated motor neurons is mediated by functional upregulation of AMPA-type receptors. This modulatory action depends on a rise in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ and exocytosis, because it is blocked by the rapid Ca$^{2+}$ chelator 1,2-bis(O-aminophenoxy)ethane-
N,N,N′,N′ acid (BAPTA), as well as by botulinum toxin, which inhibits exocytosis (Südhof, 1995). Based on these results, we hypothesized that persistent 5-HT-dependent facilitation of sensorimotor synapses in *Aplysia* depends on a postsynaptic rise in intracellular Ca²⁺, postsynaptic exocytosis, and modulation of AMPA receptor trafficking. Here, we provide additional support for this hypothesis using sensorimotor synapses in dissociated cell culture (Rayport and Schacher, 1986; Lin and Glanzman, 1994a). We also show, using a reduced preparation, that sensitization/dishabituation-related facilitation of sensorimotor synapses in the abdominal ganglion of *Aplysia* depends on postsynaptic modifications, including selective enhancement of the AMPA receptor-mediated synaptic response. Finally, we extend our cellular results to the behavioral level by showing that inhibition of exocytosis in identified siphon motor neurons disrupts dishabituation of the SWR. The results from the present study support a novel view of the cellular mechanisms that underlie behavioral enhancement in *Aplysia*. Some of our results have been reported previously in abstract form (Li et al., 2001; Roberts and Glanzman, 2001, 2002).

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** Adult *Aplysia californica* were obtained from local suppliers (Alacrity Marine Biological, Redondo Beach, CA and M-REP, Escondido, CA.) Animals were housed in a 50 gallon aquarium filled with cooled (14°C), aerated artificial seawater (ASW, Instant Ocean; Aquarium Systems, Mentor, OH). All animals were housed for at least 24 h before the start of the experiment. Note that for all of the comparisons described here, the controls for a given set of experiments that tested the effects of a specific pharmacological inhibitor on synaptic facilitation/dishabituation were always performed at the same time as the experiments involving that inhibitor. Moreover, all of the experimental tests involved in a comparison of the effects of a drug were performed with synapses/preparations made from the same shipments of animals. This was done to avoid the confounding effects of physiological variability attributable to season or to the variable health of animals from different shipments, which can be significant.

**Electrophysiological experiments on synapses in cell culture.** Sensorimotor cocultures, each comprising a single presynaptic sensory neuron and a single postsynaptic motor neuron, were fabricated using small siphon (LFS) motor neurons (Frost et al., 1988; Hickie and Walters, 1995) and pleural sensory neurons (Walters et al., 1983a). The neurons were dissociated from *Aplysia* (60–100 g; Alacrity Marine Biological) and cultured as described previously (Lin and Glanzman, 1994a). Cultures were maintained at 18°C for 3–5 d before the experiments. During experiments, the cultures were perfused with 50% sterile ASW and 50% Leibowitz-15 (1.5–2.0 cc per min). All experiments were performed at room temperature (18°C–22°C), except those involving botulinum toxin. Here, the temperature was maintained 28–30°C to maximize efficacy of the toxin. (Control experiments for the botulinum toxin experiments were also performed at 28–30°C). A single presynaptic sensory neuron and a single postsynaptic motor neuron were impaled with sharp microelectrodes [20–30 MΩ in the experiments using BAPTA and 2-aminoethoxydiphenyl borate (2-APB), 12–15 MΩ in the experiments using heparin, dinitrophenol, and botulinum toxin]. The basic microelectrode solution included 2.0 M potassium acetate, 0.5 M potassium chloride, and 0.01 M dantrolene, and botulinum toxin B (Botox B), the microelectrode solution consisted of 1.5 M potassium acetate, 0.01 M HEPES, pH 7.2, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium phosphate, and 0.5 M Botox B. (In the control experiments, motor neurons received injections of the same solution minus the toxin.) The electrophysiological recording methods were similar to those described previously (Chitwood et al., 2001). Motor neurons were held at –80 to –85 mV throughout the experiment by passing negative current (0.3–0.8 nA) into the cell via the bridge circuit of the microelectrode amplifier; this was done to prevent spontaneous firing of the motor neurons during testing and to minimize stimulus-evoked firing. Sensory neurons were activated with brief depolarizing current pulses (40 ms, 0.2–0.8 nA), and the resulting monosynaptic EPSPs were recorded. Immediately after impairment of the sensory neuron and motor neuron, the sensory neuron was fired once and the size of the EPSP was determined. After this initial test, there was a period of 30–45 min before the start of the experiments. If the size of the EPSP declined by ≥50% during this period, the experiment was discontinued.

Note that, in some cases, stimulation of a presynaptic sensory neuron during testing resulted in the elicitation of an action potential in the motor neuron, despite the injection of constant hyperpolarizing current into the postsynaptic cell. In those cases, a value of 75–80 mV was assigned to the “EPSP,” depending on exactly how much below its resting potential the motor neuron was hyperpolarized [see Bailey et al. (2000) for use of a similar method]. It should be emphasized, however, that the triggering of an action potential during a trial was a relatively rare event in the present experiments. For example, in the experiments involving BAPTA (see Fig. 1), a presynaptic action potential elicited a postsynaptic spike in just two trials in only a single experiment (out of eight) in the 5-HT control group and in only two trials in two experiments (of eight) in the 5-HT/BAPTA group. We have recalculated the statistics for all of our experiments after using a lower value (60 mV) for the EPSP in those trials in which postsynaptic action potentials were elicited. This made no significant difference in our results.

EPSP amplitude is typically used in measurements of synaptic strength in experiments on *Aplysia* sensorimotor synapses in culture. However, given the occasional elicitation of a postsynaptic action potential to a test stimulus, as sometimes happened in the experiments, particularly during 5-HT treatment, one might question whether EPSP amplitude was an appropriate measure. An alternative measure of synaptic strength is EPSP slope. To test whether these two measures yielded different results, we compared the values for EPSP amplitude and EPSP slope for all of the EPSPs from six of our cell culture experiments. During the experiments, the 5-HT control group and in only two trials in two experiments (of eight) in the 5-HT/BAPTA group. We have recalculated the statistics for all of our experiments after using a lower value (60 mV) for the EPSP in those trials in which postsynaptic action potentials were elicited. This made no significant difference in our results.

The input resistances of the sensory and motor neurons were monitored throughout the experiment by injecting 300 ms pulses of negative current (0.1 nA) into the neuron once every 5 min. The data from the experiments were discarded if the input resistance of either cell dropped 50% during the experiment. 5-HT was prepared fresh daily as a 2 M stock solution dissolved in ASW. The 5-HT was diluted to a final concentration of 10–20 μM just before an experiment in the perfusion medium and applied to the cultured synapses for 10 min, after which it was rapidly washed out with normal perfusion medium. In experiments in which drugs were applied to the motor neuron intracellularly, the drugs were added to the microelectrode solution and allowed to diffuse into the neuron for 30–45 min before the start of the experiment. Diffusion was assisted by passing negative current (–0.3 to –0.8 nA) through the microelectrode. (In control experiments, the same hyperpolarizing current was applied to the standard microelectrode solution for 30–45 min.) All drugs were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO), except 2-APB (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) and Botox B (List Biological, Campbell, CA).

**Electrophysiological experiments on synapses the abdominal ganglion.** Reduced preparations were made from *Aplysia* (100–200 g; Alacrity Marine Biological or M-REP). The experimental preparation, which comprised the CNS of *Aplysia*, together with two peripheral pedal nerves (P9) that innervate the animal’s tail, has been described previously (Murphy and Glanzman, 1999). Before the start of the experiment, one siphon (LE) sensory neuron and one siphon (LFS) motor neuron in the abdominal ganglion were impaled with sharp microelectrodes (14–22 MΩ, ba-
somatic microelectrode solution as above). The membrane potential of the motor neurons was maintained at ~90 mV throughout the experiment by passing negative current through the bridge circuit of the amplifier. During testing, action potentials were elicited from the sensory neurons by injecting a brief (20 ms) pulse of positive current. Two types of perfusion media were used: (1) normal ASW (in mM: 460 NaCl, 11 CaCl_2, 10 KCl, 55 MgCl_2, 10 HEPES buffer, pH 7.6) and (2) 2:1 ASW (in mM: 368 NaCl, 13.8 CaCl_2, 8 KCl, 101 MgCl_2, 20 MgSO_4, 10 HEPES buffer, pH 7.6) (Trudeau and Castellucci, 1992; Murphy and Glanzman, 1999). The purpose of the 2:1 ASW was to eliminate the polysynaptic component from the sensorimotor EPSP (Liao and Walters, 2002). Before experimentation, the dissecting medium was replaced with 2:1 perfusion medium. All groups then received five tests at one per minute in the 2:1 solution. Afterward, the preparation was perfused with normal ASW for 2.5–3 min, and some preparations received extracellular stimulation of the P9 nerves (tail nerve shock) via suction electrodes. The extracellular nerve stimulation comprised either one or three shocks (1 s, 25 Hz; 30 s intershock interval when multiple shocks were used). The intensity of the shocks was three to six times the threshold for eliciting an ESPS in the motor neuron. (Note that the controls and experimental preparations for each experiment received the identical regimen of nerve shocks.) After delivery of the tail nerve shocks, the preparation was perfused for 2.5–3 min with the 2:1 solution and testing continued. In those experiments in which the preparation received only the test stimuli ("test-alone" experiments), the identical regimen of alternating perfusion of 2:1 and normal ASW was followed.

In the experiments in which glutamate receptor antagonists were used to isolate the effects of nerve shock on the AMPA and NMDA receptor-mediated components of the sensorimotor EPSP (see Fig. 8), solutions with normal divalent cations were used throughout. We did not use synapses in which the initial EPSP in normal ASW was <2 or >10 mV in these experiments; the latter condition was imposed to reduce the possibility of a significant contribution to the EPSPs from interneurons. The first pretest was in normal ASW; the second pretest, which was performed with 0.2–2-amino-5-phosphonovalerate (APV), 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX), or 2,3-dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzol[\i]quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide (NBQX) added to the ASW, occurred 15 min later. Then the drug was washed out of the experimental chamber for 15 min with normal ASW, after which three shocks (1 s, 25 Hz; 30 s intershock interval) were applied to the tail nerves. Immediately after the last shock, ASW containing the same glutamate receptor antagonist that had been used in the second pretest was perfused into the experimental chamber for 4 min, and 10 postshock tests were performed at one per minute.

Behavioral experiments. Reduced preparations of _Aplysia_ (100–200 g; Alacrity Marine Biological and M-REP) were used in the experiments. Animals were anesthetized with intrahemocoel injections of isotonic MgCl_2 (equal to approximately one-half their body weight), and the dissection was performed in 50% MgCl_2 and 50% ASW. The dissection was similar to that used for the electrophysiological experiments, except that the tail and siphon were preserved and left attached to the CNS via the P9 nerves and the siphon nerve. The siphon was partially cut in half approximately one-third of the way along its longitudinal axis. One-half of the siphon was pinned to the bottom of a Sylgard-lined experimental chamber. The tail was left unpinned. The unpinned part of the siphon was attached to a force transducer (MLT0201; AD Instruments, Colorado Springs, CO) via a silk suture. The output of the force transducer was amplified (Bridge Amp ML110; AD Instruments) and recorded digitally (MacLab 4; AD Instruments). The force transducer was calibrated with known weights. Pairs of Teflon-coated platinum wires (0.005 in diameter; no. 73000; A-M Systems, Carlsborg, WA) were implanted into the tail and siphon for electrical stimulation, and the abdominal ganglion was desheathed. Afterward, the 50% MgCl_2/50% ASW in the experimental chamber was replaced with normal ASW; normal ASW was also injected into the siphon and tail to flush out the MgCl_2. The preparation was then allowed to rest for at least 1 h. After this rest period, two LFSB siphon motor neurons (Frost et al., 1988; Hickie and Walters, 1995) were impaled with sharp microelectrodes (9–14 MΩ). The microelectrodes contained either the control electrode solution (above) or Botox B (1 μM in control solution). The motor neurons were depolarized and made to fire a train of action potentials, thereby enabling us to determine what portion of the siphon the neurons innervated. If a motor neuron was found to strongly innervate the unpinned portion of the siphon, the motor neuron was selected for injection of either toxin-containing or control solution. The solution was injected into the motor neuron using 0.7 nA of negative current for 2 h. After the motor neuron injections were completed, the microelectrodes were withdrawn. The threshold level for producing movement of the unpinned portion of the siphon was determined through shocks (25 Hz, 500 ms) of gradually increasing intensity delivered to the implanted electrodes via a Master-8 electronic stimulator (A.M.P.I., Jerusalem, Israel). At least 15 min after the threshold level of stimulus intensity was determined, six tests (trials) were performed (5 min intertrial interval), during which the siphon was stimulated at threshold intensity. Two minutes after the sixth trial, three shocks were delivered to the tail (40 Hz, 1 s, 75 V; 30 s intershock interval) via the implanted electrodes with an electronic stimulator (SS8; Grass-Telefactor, West Warwick, RI). Behavioral testing resumed at 7 min after the last tail shock; there were four postshock trials in which the siphon was again stimulated at the threshold intensity level at a rate of once per 5 min.

Statistical analyses. The peak amplitudes of the evoked monosynaptic EPSPs, or of the SWRs, were measured and normalized as indicated in the figure legends. The normalized data were expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were performed using StatView and SPSS (SPSS, Chicago IL). For multiple group comparisons, repeated-measures ANOVAs were first performed, followed by between-group comparisons with Student–Newman–Keuls (SNK) tests. If the repeated-measures ANOVA indicated a significant interaction, the interaction was investigated with the Bonferroni’s correction, which adjusts for multiple comparisons. All reported levels of statistical significance represent two-tailed values.

In the statistical analyses for the experiments involving 5-HT, we treated the two experimental trials during which 5-HT was present in the bath (the 10 and 15 min trials) separately from the trials that occurred after washout of the drug. For each of these experiments, two repeated-measures ANOVAs were performed: one for the two trials performed in 5-HT and the other for the trials (20–55 min trials) after 5-HT washout. In the experiments involving nerve/tail shock, we performed a single repeated-measures ANOVA for the data from the postshock tests. For the test-alone experiments, in which the synapses/preparations received only the test stimuli, a single repeated-measures ANOVA was performed on all of the trials.

Results

The EPSPs recorded from sensorimotor synapses characteristically exhibit significant homosynaptic depression at the rates of test stimulation used in the present experiments (Castellucci and Kandel, 1974; Rayport and Schacher, 1986). These were once per 5 min for the experiments using sensorimotor synapses in dissociated cell culture (Figs. 1–5) and once per minute for the experiments using synapses in the abdominal ganglion (Figs. 6–8). The homosynaptic depression produced by our stimulation protocols means that the effect of a pharmacological inhibitor on plasticity-related changes in synaptic transmission was superimposed on a decrementing baseline response. The accepted method used to control for the decrement in the sensorimotor synaptic response attributable to the homosynaptic depression is to include separate sets of experiments in which the synapses received only the test stimuli (test-alone experiments) to control for the effect of short-term homosynaptic depression (Trudeau and Castellucci, 1993; Bao et al., 1997, 1998; Jin and Hawkins, 2003). We have followed this practice in the present study.

Prolonged 5-HT-dependent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse requires elevated postsynaptic Ca2+

In our previous experiments performed on isolated siphon motor neurons in _vitro_, we observed that 5-HT-induced enhancement
of the evoked glutamate response was triggered by elevated postsynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) (Chitwood et al., 2001). To test whether 5-HT-dependent synaptic facilitation involves a rise in postsynaptic intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), we injected the rapid Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator BAPTA (200 mM in the recording micropipette) into the postsynaptic motor neuron before the start of an experiment. Application of 5-HT (10 \(\mu\)M, 10 min) produced significant facilitation of the sensorimotor EPSP in synapses in which BAPTA was not present in the motor neuron (5-HT control data) (Fig. 1), both during the period in which 5-HT was present in the bath (the 10–15 min trials) (Figs. 1–5, black bar) and during the period after washout of the drug (20–55 min trials), as indicated by planned comparisons between the 5-HT control and test-alone/BAPTA data. Chelating Ca\(^{2+}\) in the motor neuron significantly reduced facilitation, both while 5-HT was present and after 5-HT washout, as indicated by comparisons between the 5-HT control and 5-HT/BAPTA EPSPs. Furthermore, the overall difference between the 5-HT/BAPTA and the test-alone/BAPTA groups was not significant for the post-5-HT period. Analyses of individual tests during 5-HT exposure indicated that the amplitudes of the EPSPs in the 5-HT control and 5-HT/BAPTA groups did not differ significantly on the 10 min trial but did differ significantly on the 15 min trial. Thus, the presence of BAPTA in the motor neuron did not impair facilitation ≤5 min after the onset of 5-HT but did thereafter. These data indicate that persistent facilitation requires elevated postsynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\).

Note that we did not include a separate control group in which synapses received just the test stimuli without the postsynaptic BAPTA injection. We and others have previously found that postsynaptic BAPTA does not affect homosynaptic depression of sensorimotor synapses caused by test stimuli delivered at low frequencies (Murphy and Glanzman, 1996; Bao et al., 1997) (see below).

**Evidence that prolonged 5-HT-dependent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse requires release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from postsynaptic intracellular stores**

A possible source of the rise in postsynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) required for persistent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse is release from intracellular stores (Berridge, 1993). As an initial test of this possibility, we injected heparin (5 mM in the recording micropipette), a competitive antagonist of inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP\(_3\)) receptors (Ghosh et al., 1988), into the motor neuron. Postsynaptic heparin had no effect on either basal synaptic transmission or homosynaptic depression (repeated-measures ANOVA on the overall data for the two test-alone groups; \(p > 0.6\)) (Fig. 2). Accordingly, the data from the test-alone and test-alone/heparin experiments were combined for the statistical comparisons with the two groups that received 5-HT treatment. Application of 5-HT (10–20 \(\mu\)M, 10 min) produced significant facilitation of the sensorimotor EPSP in the 5-HT control group during both the 5-HT treatment period and the post-5-HT period, as indicated by statistical comparisons between the 5-HT control and combined test-alone groups. Postsynaptic heparin significantly reduced the facilitation while 5-HT was present in the bath, as well as after 5-HT washout, as shown by comparisons between the 5-HT control and 5-HT/heparin groups. The presence of heparin in the motor neuron did not eliminate the facilitation elicited in the presence of 5-HT, because there was a significant difference between the 5-HT/heparin and test-alone groups during the 10–15 min trials. However, postsynaptic heparin blocked the facilitation that persisted after washout of 5-HT.
5-HT treatment period (group were significantly greater than those in the other two experimental groups during the 5-HT treatment during this period (10–15 min trials) indicated that there was a significant main effect for experimental groups. 5-HT (10 μM, 10 min) produced significant facilitation in both the 5-HT control and 5-HT/2-APB groups, compared with the combined test-alone group, during 5-HT exposure. After washout of 5-HT, significant facilitation persisted in the 5-HT control group, as indicated by the comparison between the 5-HT control and combined test-alone groups. 5-HT/2-APB caused brief enhancement of 5-HT-dependent facilitation while 5-HT was present. However, the 2-APB impaired post-5-HT facilitation: the EPSPs in the 5-HT/2-APB group were significantly reduced during this period compared with those in the 5-HT control group. Although disruptive of facilitation, inhibition of IP₃ receptors did not eliminate facilitation after washout of 5-HT, as indicated by the significant difference between the 5-HT/2-APB data and the test-alone data during the 20–55 min trials.

The two inhibitors of IP₃ receptors that we used, heparin and 2-APB, are known to have nonspecific effects. For example, heparin can inhibit both the formation of inositol 1,3,4,5-tetrakiphosphate (IP₄) and the binding of IP₄ to its receptor; in addition, heparin can activate ryanodine receptors (RyRs) and uncouple receptors from their G-proteins (Taylor and Broad, 1998). 2-APB has been reported to block Ca²⁺ entry through store-operated Ca²⁺ channels (Ma et al., 2001; Peppiatt et al., 2003). 2-APB can also enhance Ca²⁺ release and Ca²⁺ entry. Prakriya and Lewis (2001) reported that, at low concentrations (1–5 μM), 2-APB enhanced Ca²⁺ entry into cells via the Ca²⁺ release-activated Ca²⁺ current (ICr,CRAC), whereas at higher concentrations (≥10 μM, similar to those used in the present experiments), 2-APB caused brief enhancement of ICr,CRAC followed by inhibition. We found that low concentrations of 2-APB (1–10 μM) significantly potentiated the 5-HT-induced facilitation that persists after 5-HT washout (data not shown). This effect may be attributable to a facilitatory effect of 2-APB on either presynaptic or postsynaptic ICr,CRAC. Despite the potential nonspecific effects of heparin and 2-APB, however, the inhibitors had similar, albeit not identical, disruptive effects on facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse. Because the nonspecific effects of these two inhibitors are attributable to effects on different cellular targets, the overall similarity of their inhibitory actions here supports the idea that their impairment of synaptic facilitation was caused by a common action, namely inhibition of IP₃ receptor-mediated Ca²⁺ release in the motor neuron. We do not have an explanation for why the effect of heparin on facilitation was quantitatively greater than that of 2-APB. One possibility is that 2-APB had a stimulatory effect on Ca²⁺ influx (Prakriya and Lewis, 2001), perhaps in the sensory neuron, in addition to its antagonism of postsynaptic IP₃ receptors. Another possibility is that the

IP₃ receptors play a significant role in 5-HT-dependent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse

Heparin can have nonspecific effects (Taylor and Broad, 1998). We therefore tested the effect of another, more specific, inhibitor of IP₃ receptor-mediated release of intracellular Ca²⁺, 2-APB (Maruyama et al., 1997; Ma et al., 2000), on 5-HT-dependent facilitation. This cell membrane-permeant inhibitor was bath applied (20–80 μM in perfusion medium with 0.1% DMSO, beginning 5 min before the first trial) and was present throughout the experiment. [Note that 0.1% DMSO was also present in the perfusion medium during the control experiments in which 2-APB was not used. This concentration of DMSO has no effect on basic synaptic transmission, the input resistance of the neurons or on synaptic facilitation induced by 5-HT (Q. Li and D. L. Glanzman, unpublished observations)]. The overall data for the test-alone and test-alone/2-APB groups did not differ (repeated-measures ANOVA; p > 0.1) (Fig. 3), and the data from these two groups were combined for statistical comparisons with the other experimental groups. 5-HT (10 μM, 10 min) produced significant facilitation in both the 5-HT control and 5-HT/2-APB groups, compared with the combined test-alone group, during 5-HT exposure. After washout of 5-HT, significant facilitation persisted in the 5-HT control group, as indicated by the comparison between the 5-HT control and combined test-alone groups. Inhibition of IP₃ receptors did not significantly disrupt facilitation while 5-HT was present. However, the 2-APB impaired post-5-HT facilitation: the EPSPs in the 5-HT/2-APB group were significantly reduced during this period compared with those in the 5-HT control group. Although disruptive of facilitation, inhibition of IP₃ receptors did not eliminate facilitation after washout of 5-HT, as indicated by the significant difference between the 5-HT/2-APB data and the test-alone data during the 20–55 min trials.

The two inhibitors of IP₃ receptors that we used, heparin and 2-APB, are known to have nonspecific effects. For example, heparin can inhibit both the formation of inositol 1,3,4,5-tetrakiphosphate (IP₄) and the binding of IP₄ to its receptor; in addition, heparin can activate ryanodine receptors (RyRs) and uncouple receptors from their G-proteins (Taylor and Broad, 1998). 2-APB has been reported to block Ca²⁺ entry through store-operated Ca²⁺ channels (Ma et al., 2001; Peppiatt et al., 2003). 2-APB can also enhance Ca²⁺ release and Ca²⁺ entry. Prakriya and Lewis (2001) reported that, at low concentrations (1–5 μM), 2-APB enhanced Ca²⁺ entry into cells via the Ca²⁺ release-activated Ca²⁺ current (ICr,CRAC), whereas at higher concentrations (≥10 μM, similar to those used in the present experiments), 2-APB caused brief enhancement of ICr,CRAC followed by inhibition. We found that low concentrations of 2-APB (1–10 μM) significantly potentiated the 5-HT-induced facilitation that persists after 5-HT washout (data not shown). This effect may be attributable to a facilitatory effect of 2-APB on either presynaptic or postsynaptic ICr,CRAC. Despite the potential nonspecific effects of heparin and 2-APB, however, the inhibitors had similar, albeit not identical, disruptive effects on facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse. Because the nonspecific effects of these two inhibitors are attributable to effects on different cellular targets, the overall similarity of their inhibitory actions here supports the idea that their impairment of synaptic facilitation was caused by a common action, namely inhibition of IP₃ receptor-mediated Ca²⁺ release in the motor neuron. We do not have an explanation for why the effect of heparin on facilitation was quantitatively greater than that of 2-APB. One possibility is that 2-APB had a stimulatory effect on Ca²⁺ influx (Prakriya and Lewis, 2001), perhaps in the sensory neuron, in addition to its antagonism of postsynaptic IP₃ receptors. Another possibility is that the
more drastic effect of heparin on facilitation may have partly been attributable to uncoupling of 5-HT receptors with their G-proteins (Taylor and Broad, 1998). These possibilities are, of course, not mutually exclusive.
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5-HT-induced facilitation depends on postsynaptic exocytosis

We previously observed that the light chain of Botox B blocks facilitation of the glutamate response in isolated motor neurons of Aplysia (Chitwood et al., 2001). Botox B blocks exocytosis by selectively cleaving vesicle-associated membrane protein/synaptobrevin (Südhof, 1995). We therefore hypothesized that facilitation of the glutamate response in isolated motor neurons might be attributable to exocytotic insertion of additional AMPA receptors into the cell membrane of the neurons. To determine whether 5-HT-induced facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse depends on postsynaptic exocytosis, we injected Botox B (0.5 μM in the recording micropipette) into the motor neuron (Poulain et al., 1996). Neither synaptic transmission nor homosynaptic depression was altered by postsynaptic Botox B (repeated-measures ANOVA on the overall EPSP data for the test-alone and test-alone/Botox B groups; p > 0.5) (Fig. 5). Subsequent statistical comparisons therefore used the combined data from the two test-alone groups. The EPSPs in the 5-HT control group were significantly facilitated by 5-HT (10 μM, 10 min), compared with the EPSPs in synapses that only received the test stimuli, both while the 5-HT was present in the bath and after washout of the drug. Blocking postsynaptic exocytosis did not affect facilitation during the 5-HT application period but blocked facilitation thereafter. There was no significant difference between the 5-HT/Botox B EPSPs and the test-alone EPSPs during the 20–55 min trials.

The lack of any effect on facilitation of postsynaptic Botox B while 5-HT was present in the bath contrasts with the effects of chelating postsynaptic intracellular Ca2+ (Fig. 1) and of antagonizing postsynaptic IP3 receptors (Figs. 2, 3). This difference suggests that 5-HT stimulates early processes within the motor neuron that depend on elevated intracellular Ca2+ and that can support synaptic facilitation independent of postsynaptic exocytosis.

Facilitation of siphon sensorimotor synapses in the abdominal ganglion caused by tail nerve shock depends on elevated postsynaptic Ca2+

Noxious stimulation, such as tail or tail nerve shock, causes activation of identified serotonergic neurons in Aplysia (Mackey et
al., 1989) and the release of 5-HT within the CNS of *Aplysia* (Marinesco and Carew, 2002). Furthermore, serotonergic processes have been shown to contact both sensory and motor neurons within the central ganglia of *Aplysia* (Kistler et al., 1985; Marinesco and Carew, 2002; Zhang et al., 2003). Finally, release of 5-HT is required for dishabituation and sensitization of the defensive withdrawal reflex in *Aplysia* (Glanzman et al., 1989). These facts, together with the data from our experiments on sen-

Figure 4. Postsynaptic injection of dantrolene, an inhibitor of RyRs, disrupts 5-HT-dependent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse in culture. A. Representative EPSPs for three of the experimental groups: 5-HT control, 5-HT/dantrolene, and test-alone/dantrolene. Trial times correspond to those indicated on the abscissa of the graph in B. B. Mean normalized amplitude of the EPSPs in the four experimental groups: 5-HT control synapses (n = 7); synapses treated with 5-HT after dantrolene had been injected into the motor neuron (5-HT/dantrolene; n = 7); synapses that received the test stimuli but not postsynaptic dantrolene (test-alone; n = 7); synapses that received the test stimuli with postsynaptic dantrolene (test-alone/dantrolene; n = 7). There was a significant main effect for experimental treatment during the 5-HT treatment, as revealed by a repeated-measures ANOVA (F(2,25) = 27.30; p < 0.0001). The mean normalized EPSPs for the 10 min trial were 260 ± 41% in the 5-HT control group, 213 ± 22% in the 5-HT/dantrolene group, and 78 ± 4% in the combined test-alone group (n = 14). For the 15 min, trial the mean normalized EPSPs were 248 ± 32% in the 5-HT control group, 209 ± 26% in the 5-HT/dantrolene group, and 73 ± 5% in the combined test-alone group. SNK post hoc tests for the 5-HT application period indicated that the amplitudes of the EPSPs in the 5-HT control group and in the 5-HT/dantrolene groups were significantly facilitated compared with those in the combined test-alone group (p < 0.05 for each comparison). There was no significant difference between the 5-HT control and 5-HT/dantrolene EPSP values when 5-HT was present in the bath. A repeated-measures ANOVA on the data from the period after washout of 5-HT (20–55 min trials) also showed a significant main effect for experimental treatment (F(2,25) = 31.67; p < 0.0001). The EPSPs in the 5-HT control group were significantly greater than those in 5-HT/dantrolene and combined test-alone groups (p < 0.05 for each comparison), as revealed by SNK post hoc tests. Also, the EPSPs in the 5-HT/dantrolene group were significantly greater than those in the combined test-alone group (p < 0.05), indicating that facilitation persisted, to some extent, in the former group. The asterisk indicates the significant difference between the 5-HT control and 5-HT/dantrolene data, the # symbols indicate significant differences between the 5-HT/dantrolene and test-alone data, and the crosses indicate significant differences between the 5-HT control and the test-alone data. Error bars represent SEM. Interstimulus interval, 5 min.

Figure 5. Postsynaptic injection of Botox B, an inhibitor of exocytosis, disrupts 5-HT-dependent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse in culture. A. Representative EPSPs for three of the experimental groups: 5-HT control, 5-HT/Botox B, and test-alone/Botox B. Trial times correspond to those indicated on the abscissa of the graph in B. B. Mean normalized amplitude of the EPSPs in the four experimental groups: synapses in the 5-HT control group (n = 6); synapses were treated with 5-HT after Botox B had been injected into the motor neuron (5-HT/Botox B; n = 5); synapses that received the test stimuli, but not postsynaptic Botox B (test-alone; n = 6); synapses that received the test stimuli together with postsynaptic Botox B (test-alone/Botox B; n = 3). There was a significant main effect for experimental treatment during the period of 5-HT application (10–15 min trials), as revealed by a repeated-measures ANOVA (F(2,17) = 18.29; p < 0.0001). The mean normalized EPSPs for the 10 min trial were 267 ± 39% in the 5-HT control group, 257 ± 46% in the 5-HT/Botox B group, and 75 ± 5% in the combined test-alone group (n = 9). For the 15 min trial, the mean normalized EPSPs were 255 ± 31% in the 5-HT control group, 248 ± 47% in the 5-HT/Botox B group, and 68 ± 6% in the combined test-alone group. SNK post hoc tests for the 5-HT treatment period showed that the amplitudes of the EPSPs in the 5-HT control group and in the 5-HT/Botox B groups were significantly facilitated compared with those in the combined test-alone group (p < 0.05 for each comparison). The difference between the 5-HT control and 5-HT/Botox B EPSP values was not significant during 5-HT application. A repeated-measures ANOVA on the data from the post-5-HT period (20–55 min trials) showed a significant main effect for experimental treatment (F(2,17) = 9.11; p < 0.003). As revealed by SNK post hoc tests for the post-5-HT trials, the mean EPSPs in the 5-HT control group were significantly greater than those in the 5-HT/Botox B and combined test-alone groups (p < 0.05 for each comparison). There was no significant difference between the EPSP data for the 5-HT/Botox B and combined test-alone groups during the post-5-HT period. The asterisk indicates the significant differences between the 5-HT control and 5-HT/Botox B data, the # symbol indicates the significant differences between the 5-HT/Botox B and test-alone data, and the crosses indicate significant differences between the 5-HT control and the test-alone data. Error bars represent SEM. Interstimulus interval, 5 min.
sorimotor synapses (above), suggest that 5-HT-dependent postsynaptic processes might be critical for behavioral dishabituation and/or sensitization. To test this idea, we used a so-called “cellular analog” of dishabituation/sensitization of the SWR. Electrical shocks delivered to two tail (P9) nerves of a reduced preparation served as dishabituating/sensitizing stimuli (see Materials and Methods). The electrophysiological readout of dishabituation/sensitization was facilitation of siphon sensorimotor synapses within the abdominal ganglion. Test stimuli were delivered to presynaptic siphon sensory neurons at a rate of one per minute, except during the period in which the nerves were shocked. To suppress the activity of interneurons, and thereby ensure that the EPSPs evoked in response to our test stimuli were monosynaptic, ASW containing approximately twofold extracellular Mg2+ and slightly elevated extracellular Ca2+ (2:1 solution; see Materials and Methods) was perfused over the preparation during testing (Trudeau and Castellucci, 1992; Murphy and Glanzman, 1996; Liao and Walters, 2002). The perfusion medium was changed to normal ASW just before the delivery of nerve shock; afterward, the perfusion medium was switched back to the 2:1 solution, and testing continued (Fig. 6). During these exchanges of solution, the amount of normal ASW/2:1 solution washed through the experimental chamber was three to four times the volume of the chamber.

We initially tested whether elevation of postsynaptic intracellular Ca2+ is required for facilitation of sensorimotor synapses caused by tail nerve shock. BAPTA (200 mM in the recording micropipette) was injected into the LFS motor neuron of a siphon sensorimotor synapse ≥30 min before the start of an experiment. There were three experimental groups: a test-alone group that received only the test stimuli; a tail nerve shock (TNS) group that received tail nerve shock in addition to the test stimuli; and a group that received the same stimuli as the TNS group, but with BAPTA present in the motor neuron (TNS/BAPTA group). All three groups exhibited homosynaptic depression (Castellucci and Kandel, 1974) during the preshock trials (Fig. 6), and there were no significant differences among the EPSPs for the groups during the preshock trials (repeated-measures ANOVA; p > 0.5). Tail nerve shock produced significant facilitation of the monosynaptic EPSP, as indicated by the comparison between the TNS and test-alone data. Chelating Ca2+ in the motor neuron blocked the shock-induced facilitation, as indicated by the comparison between TNS and TNS/BAPTA data. Although the overall postshock data for the TNS/BAPTA and test-alone groups did not differ, one-way ANOVAs with Bonferroni’s corrections on the individual postshock trials revealed that the 10 min EPSP for the TNS/BAPTA group was significantly greater than that of the test-alone group. This result indicates that, after tail shock, there is a brief contribution to facilitation from processes, presumably presynaptic (Byrne and Kandel, 1996), that are independent of postsynaptic Ca2++.

In a separate experiment, we tested whether postsynaptic BAPTA affected the response of sensorimotor synapses to the test stimuli. There were two groups: a test-alone group (n = 4) and a test-alone/BAPTA group (n = 4). The experimental protocol was identical to that of the experiments that involved nerve shock, including the exchanges of perfusion medium, except that no nerve shock was used. A repeated-measures ANOVA indicated that there was no difference between the EPSPs for the test-alone and test-alone/BAPTA groups (p > 0.5; data not shown). Postsynaptic BAPTA treatment therefore did not alter either basal synaptic transmission or short-term homosynaptic depression, at least at the rates of test stimulation used here (Murphy and Glanzman, 1996; Bao et al., 1997).
Tail nerve shock-induced facilitation of siphon sensorimotor synapses requires release from postsynaptic intracellular stores of Ca$^{2+}$

To investigate whether nerve shock-induced facilitation depends on release of Ca$^{2+}$ from postsynaptic intracellular stores, we injected heparin (5–7.5 ms in the recording micropipette) into motor neurons before the start of the experiments. The experimental protocol was similar to that in the BAPTA experiments, except that three nerve shocks were delivered in the TNS groups, rather than a single shock (Fig. 7). There were four experimental groups: test-alone, test-alone/heparin, TNS, and TNS/heparin.

The synapses in the test-alone and test-alone/heparin groups (n = 7 in each) did not differ with respect to their responses to the test stimuli (repeated-measures ANOVA; p > 0.2). Subsequent statistical comparisons used the combined results from the two test-alone groups. Postsynaptic heparin had no significant effect on the response of the synapse to the test stimuli, as indicated by a repeated-measures ANOVA on the preshock trials for the three groups (p > 0.6) (Fig. 7). Nerve shock produced significant facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse, and this nerve shock-induced facilitation was disrupted by the presence of heparin in the motor neuron. There was no significant difference between the postshock data for the TNS/heparin and the combined test-alone groups. These data indicate that, like 5-HT-dependent facilitation, dishabituation/sensitization-related facilitation in *Aplysia* depends on release of Ca$^{2+}$ from postsynaptic internal stores for its full expression. Our data are consistent with a role for postsynaptic IP$_3$ receptors in dishabituation/sensitization, although they do not rule out an additional role for RyRs.

Tail nerve shock produces greater facilitation of the AMPA component of the sensorimotor EPSP than of the NMDA component

NMDA-type receptors have previously been implicated in plasticity of *Aplysia* sensorimotor synapses (Lin and Glanzman, 1994a,b; Murphy and Glanzman, 1997, 1999; Antonov et al., 2003). Moreover, experiments on sensorimotor synapses in culture (Glanzman, 1994; Conrad et al., 1999) and in the abdominal ganglion (Antonov et al., 2003) have shown that, under some circumstances, sensorimotor EPSPs contain an APV-sensitive component. Antonov et al. (2003) reported that the AMPA receptor antagonist CNQX selectively antagonizes the peak, but not the late phase, of the EPSP for siphon sensorimotor synapses in the abdominal ganglion, whereas APV selectively antagonizes the late phase, but not the peak, of the EPSP. [Note that the experiments by Antonov et al. (2003) were performed in ASW having normal extracellular Mg$^{2+}$, with the postsynaptic motor neuron hyperpolarized by ~30 mV.] The results of Antonov et al. indicate that the AMPA receptor-mediated and NMDA receptor-mediated components of the sensorimotor EPSP can be pharmacologically separated for synapses in the CNS of *Aplysia*. Accordingly, we used APV, CNQX, and another, more selective AMPA receptor antagonist, NBQX (Sheardown et al., 1990), to test whether the AMPA receptor-mediated and NMDA receptor-mediated components of the sensorimotor EPSP are differentially facilitated by nerve shock. Because of the homosynaptic depression exhibited by the sensorimotor synapse to low-frequency stimulation, we had to modify our experimental protocol to obtain measurable EPSPs in CNQX/NBQX. Therefore, there were only two tests before the nerve shock, the first in ASW alone and the second in ASW containing one of the three glutamate receptor antagonists: APV (200 μM), CNQX (50 μM), or NBQX (200 μM). The drugs were washed out of the experimental chamber immediately after the second test and washed back in after the nerve shock (Fig. 8A, C). The EPSPs elicited on the first trial in normal ASW ranged from 2 to 10 mV. There were no significant differences among the three experimental groups with
mediated components of the sensorimotor EPSP after tail nerve shock. Figure 8.

Differential facilitation of the AMPA receptor-mediated and NMDA receptor-
mediated components of the sensorimotor EPSP after tail nerve shock. A. Representative EPSPs for the different experimental groups. Trial times correspond to those indicated on the abscissa in B and C. B. Mean normalized amplitude of the EPSPs in the three groups that received the test stimuli (test-alone groups). The mean initial (0 min) non-normalized EPSP value, determined in perfusion with normal ASW, was 4.5 ± 1.2 mV for the test-alone/APV group (n = 5), 4.9 ± 1.4 mV for the test-alone/CNQX group (n = 6), and 5.0 ± 1.3 mV for the test-alone/NBQX group (n = 4). The differences among the initial EPSPs in the three test-alone groups were not significant, as indicated by a one-way ANOVA (p > 0.9). The EPSPs were normalized to the second (15 min) trial, which took place during perfusion with one of the three glutamate receptor antagonists (APV, CNQX, or NBQX). After the 15 min trial, normal ASW was washed back into the experimental chamber for 16 min, followed by perfusion with the same glutamate receptor antagonist that had been used for the 15 min trial; the remainder of the tests were performed in this antagonist. (See C for an explanation of the experimental protocol.) The differences among the test-alone groups with respect to their normalized EPSP values for the 35–44 min trials were not significant (repeated-measures ANOVA; p > 0.3). The data from the three groups were combined for the test-alone comparison curve in C. C. Mean normalized amplitude of the EPSPs in the three groups that received nerve shock. The mean non-normalized EPSP on the 0 min trial in normal ASW was 4.7 ± 0.7 mV for the APV-treated group (TNS/APV; n = 11), 5.3 ± 0.7 mV for the CNQX-treated group (TNS/CNQX; n = 11), and 5.3 ± 1.3 mV for the NBQX group (TNS/NBQX; n = 7). The initial EPSPs were not significantly different among the groups (p > 0.8; one-way ANOVA). The second (15 min) trial took place during perfusion with one of the three glutamate receptor antagonists; the EPSPs were normalized to this trial. The glutamate receptor antagonist was then washed from the experimental chamber for 15 min with normal ASW, after which nerve shocks (arrow) were initiated. There were three shocks (1 s in duration, 25 Hz; 30 s intershock interval; see Materials and Methods). Immediately after the third shock, ASW containing the same glutamate receptor antagonist (APV, CNQX, or NBQX) that had been used in the second trial was perfused into the experimental chamber for 4 min, and then there were 10 postshock tests at one per minute. There were no differences in the overall data from the TNS/CNQX and TNS/NBQX groups (repeated-measures ANOVA; p = 0.4), so the data from these groups were combined for statistical comparisons with the TNS/APV group. A repeated-measures ANOVA indicated that there was a significant main group effect (F(2,41) = 12.33; p < 0.0001) as well as a significant interaction (F(2,41) = 7.85; p < 0.0001). Post hoc assessments of the group differences with SNK tests revealed that the EPSPs in the TNS/APV group were significantly greater than the combined TNS/CNQX/NBQX group, as well as the combined test-alone group (p < 0.05 for each comparison). The difference between the postshock EPSPs in the combined TNS/CNQX/NBQX group and the combined test-alone group, however, was not statistically significant. It might be argued, however, that our data permit an alternative interpretation, one compatible with an exclusively presynaptic model of facilitation. The alternative interpretation depends on the notion that AMPA and non-AMPA receptors might be localized opposite different presynaptic terminals. If postsynaptic AMPA receptors were present opposite release sites that were somehow more sensitive to 5-HT than the release sites opposite non-AMPA receptors, then nerve shock-released 5-HT (or other facilitatory transmitter) would be expected to selectively enhance the AMPA receptor-mediated component of the EPSP, even if 5-HT simply increased presynaptic release. However, this alternative interpretation seems unlikely given the substantial similarity between our respect to the starting amplitudes of the EPSPs. To determine whether the nerve shocks produced significant synaptic facilitation, we also performed parallel experiments in each of the glutamate receptor antagonists using the identical protocol as shown in Figure 8C, except that no nerve shocks were delivered. There were no significant differences among the three sets of normalized test-alone data (Fig. 8B), and therefore the data from the test-alone experiments were combined for comparison with the data from the nerve shock experiments. Tail nerve shock produced significant facilitation of the EPSP in the TNS/APV group, as shown by the comparison with the combined test-alone group (Fig. 8C, open diamonds). The postshock EPSPs in the TNS/APV group were also significantly greater than those in the combined TNS/CNQX/NBQX group. There was no significant difference, however, between the postshock EPSPs in the CNQX/NBQX and the test-alone EPSPs for the equivalent trials. Thus, tail nerve shock produced significant enhancement of the AMPA receptor-mediated component of the EPSP, but not of the NMDA receptor-mediated component. These results appear inconsistent with the idea that dishabituation/sensitization in Aplysia is mediated either exclusively or predominately by presynaptic facilitation (see Discussion).

It might be argued, however, that our data permit an alternative interpretation, one compatible with an exclusively presynaptic model of facilitation. The alternative interpretation depends on the notion that AMPA and non-AMPA receptors might be localized opposite different presynaptic terminals. If postsynaptic AMPA receptors were present opposite release sites that were somehow more sensitive to 5-HT than the release sites opposite non-AMPA receptors, then nerve shock-released 5-HT (or other facilitatory transmitter) would be expected to selectively enhance the AMPA receptor-mediated component of the EPSP, even if 5-HT simply increased presynaptic release. However, this alternative interpretation seems unlikely given the substantial similarity between our
present results and those from our previous experiments on isolated motor neurons in cell culture (Chitwood et al., 2001). Any potential contribution from presynaptic processes to facilitation was excluded in those experiments. Moreover, as we show below (see Discussion) the deduced time course of the postsynaptic process that underlies facilitation of the sensorimotor EPSP closely parallels the time course of the facilitation of the glutamate response in isolated motor neurons. Given these facts, the most reasonable interpretation of the data in Figure 8 is that nerve shock results in a postsynaptic facilitatory action, specifically selective enhancement of the AMPA receptor-mediated synaptic response, in addition to its presynaptic effects.

Although our results represent convincing evidence that dishabituation-related facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse involves postsynaptic changes, they may underestimate the contribution of presynaptic changes to this form of learning. This is because the severe corrections for multiple comparisons involved in our post hoc statistical tests may have caused a failure to detect a real difference between the postsuck EPSPs in the AMPA receptor antagonists and the test-alone EPSPs (type II error).

Analysis of the non-normalized EPSPs in APV indicated that the NMDA receptor antagonist had little effect on the peak of the EPSP in 9 of the 11 synapses in the TNS/APG group, consistent with the results of Antonov et al. (2003). But in two of the synapses, APV significantly antagonized the peak of the EPSP. These results may indicate that for a minority of sensorimotor synapses in the abdominal ganglion, NMDA receptor-mediated currents contribute to the peak, as well as the late phase, of the EPSP. Note, however, that because we did not include an ASW control group in this set of experiments, we are unable to statistically disentangle the effects of homosynaptic depression from the effects of the glutamate receptor antagonists on the EPSPs. We also observed that CNQX appeared to produce greater reduction of the EPSP peak than did NBQX, a result that we have confirmed in experiments on sensorimotor synapses in cell culture (Li and Glanzman, unpublished observations). This observation is consistent with findings from the mammalian CNS that indicate that NBQX is a more selective antagonist of AMPA receptors than CNQX (Sheardown et al., 1990; Randle et al., 1992).

**Behavioral dishabituation depends on postsynaptic excytosis**

Previous evidence from our laboratory (Chitwood et al., 2001) and results from the present study (Fig. 5) implicate an excytotic process in 5-HT-induced enhancement of AMPA receptor function. To test for a role for postsynaptic excytosis in actual learning in *Aplysia*, we examined the effect of injection of Botox B into siphon motor neurons on dishabituation of the SWR (Hawkins et al., 1998; Antonov et al., 1999). For these behavioral experiments, we used a reduced preparation similar to that developed by Antonov et al. (1999, 2001, 2003) (Fig. 9A). This preparation permitted us to monitor the SWR while recording electrophysiologically from siphon motor neurons in the abdominal ganglion. At the beginning of each experiment, two siphon motor neurons (LFSB type) in the abdominal ganglion were unambiguously identified by their electrophysiological properties and their contribution to movement of the siphon (Frost et al., 1988; Hickie and Walters, 1995) (Fig. 9B). Botox B (1 μl) or the control electrode solution (see Materials and Methods) was iontophoresed into the two motor neurons by applying constant negative current for 2 h (Hunt et al., 1994). Behavioral experiments were then performed on the preparation. Six weak test shocks were delivered to the siphon at one per 5 min, and the resulting SWR was measured. As expected, the preparations exhibited habituation of the SWR in response to the test stimuli (Antonov et al., 1999). After the sixth test stimulus, strong electrical shocks were delivered to the tail preparation, and testing of the siphon response resumed 7 min afterward. In control preparations without Botox B injections, the tail shocks produced significant dishabituation of the withdrawal reflex (Fig. 9C,D). Preparations in the Botox B group did not exhibit dishabituation. Furthermore, the averaged postsuck SWR was significantly greater in the control group than in the Botox B group.

It might be expected that Botox B would interfere with the SWR itself by blocking presynaptic release from the terminals of the LFSB neurons treated with the toxin (Hunt et al., 1994). However, the normalized SWR averaged across the first five trials (0–20 min trials) was not significantly different in the control and Botox B groups (Fig. 9D). Also, there was no difference in the
non-normalized magnitude of the initial SWR to the first test stimulus. Finally, the intensity of the electrical shock required to elicit a threshold SWR in the two groups did not differ significantly. These results indicate that the Botox B injections did not disrupt transmitter release from LFS neurons, probably because to reach the terminals of these neurons, the toxin would have had to diffuse a distance of over 20 mm, the approximate distance from the abdominal ganglion to the siphon via the siphon nerve. Nonetheless, it might be argued that the reason the preshock data did not differ for the control and Botox B groups, whereas the postshock data did, was that the toxin finally arrived at the terminals of the injected motor neurons at the time of the 35–50 min trials. We therefore performed an additional set of experiments using a protocol identical to that of the dishabituation experiments presented in Figure 9, with the difference that no tail shocks were given to the preparations. In these test-alone experiments, there was no significant difference between the control group (n = 5) and Botox B group (n = 5), either for the averaged group data for all of the trials (p > 0.5) or for the four trials corresponding to the postshock trials in the dishabituation experiments (35–50 min trials; p > 0.5; data not shown). Together, these results provide strong support for the idea that a postsynaptic exocytotic process is required for normal behavioral dishabituation of the SWR.

Discussion

Our data indicate that postsynaptic facilitatory mechanisms, particularly upregulation of the function of AMPA-type receptors, play critical roles in synaptic facilitation and behavioral dishabituation in *Aplysia*. The present results are reminiscent of those reported for long-term potentiation (LTP) of synapses in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (Malinow and Malenka, 2002). During LTP, the trafficking of AMPA receptors in CA1 neurons is modulated; specifically, LTP induction causes additional AMPA receptors to be delivered to the postsynaptic plasma membrane of CA1 neurons, possibly by exocytosis (Lledo et al., 1998; Lüscher et al., 1999; Shi et al., 1999; Hayashi et al., 2000). However, work on AMPA receptor trafficking in the mammalian hippocampus has been concerned primarily with synaptic plasticity. Our results, in contrast, link modulation of AMPA receptor trafficking with actual learning in a simple model system (Takahashi et al., 2003; Boccia and Feldman, 2004; Rumpel et al., 2005).

Our *in vitro* experiments indicate that buffering of postsynaptic intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ and antagonism of IP$_3$ receptors produce earlier disruption of facilitation than does antagonism of postsynaptic RyRs and blockade of postsynaptic exocytosis (Figs. 1–5). This suggests that release of Ca$^{2+}$ from ryanodine-sensitive stores may be the cellular event most closely related to insertion of AMPA receptors into the postsynaptic membrane and, furthermore, that there are Ca$^{2+}$-dependent postsynaptic facilitatory mechanisms that do not involve exocytosis.

Do the postsynaptic facilitatory processes described here participate in sensitization, the enhancement of a nonhabituated response, as well as in dishabituation? Previous data indicate a possible mechanistic distinction between dishabituation and sensitization of the SWR in *Aplysia* (Hochner et al., 1986; Marcus et al., 1988; Rankin and Carew, 1988). Nevertheless, 5-HT appears to play a critical role in both of these forms of nonassociative learning (Glanzman et al., 1989; Marinesco and Carew, 2002). We therefore presume that sensitization, like dishabituation, depends on 5-HT-induced postsynaptic processes.

A related question is whether postsynaptic processes play critical roles in facilitation of nondepressed synapses. 5-HT recruits an additional presynaptic facilitatory component in synapses that have undergone severe short-term homosynaptic depression due to rates of stimulation (e.g., 0.1 Hz) significantly higher than those used here (Hochner et al., 1986). Furthermore, 5-HT-dependent facilitation of sensorimotor synapses appears to involve somewhat different processes depending on whether the synapses are nondepressed or moderately depressed (Ghirardi et al., 1992). The synapses in our electrophysiological experiments were, at most, moderately depressed by the stimulation frequencies that we used (once per 1 or 5 min). For example, in the experiments on cultured synapses, 5-HT was applied to synapses that were depressed by only ~25% (Figs. 1–5). Moreover, both of the facilitatory treatments, application of 5-HT and tail nerve shock (Figs. 6, 7), increased the amplitude of the sensorimotor EPSP beyond its initial level in the control experiments. Thus, the postsynaptic processes described here appear to be important for facilitation of nondepressed as well as of moderately depressed synapses, a conclusion supported by the overall similarity between the present results and those from our previous experiments involving facilitation of the glutamate response in isolated motor neurons (Chitwood et al., 2001).

Previous studies (for review, see Byrne and Kandel, 1996) concluded that sensitization/dishabituation-related facilitation in *Aplysia* depends on exclusively presynaptic mechanisms. Castellucci and Kandel (1976) performed a quantal analysis on transmission at sensorimotor synapses before and after nerve shock. They reported that nerve shock produced an increase in m (quantal content), a parameter classically associated with presynaptic transmitter release, without altering q (quantal size), a parameter associated with postsynaptic sensitivity. Nevertheless, the interpretation of results from studies of LTP expression in the hippocampus using similar statistical analyses has been problematic (Nicoll, 2003). One might suppose that our results could be reconciled with the previous results (Castellucci and Kandel, 1976) if the facilitation were induced, at least in part, postsynaptically (i.e., by release of Ca$^{2+}$ from intracellular stores) but expressed entirely presynaptically, as enhanced presynaptic release. But the results from the present experiments using AMPA receptor antagonists (Fig. 8) show that nerve shock-induced facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse is expressed postsynaptically as enhanced AMPA receptor function.

What is the reason for the contrast between our conclusions and those of previous studies of synaptic facilitation in *Aplysia*? One potential source of the discrepancy may be methodological: we applied 5-HT for 10 min, which is significantly longer than the briefer 5-HT treatment periods generally used to investigate short-term facilitation of sensorimotor synapses (Byrne and Kandel, 1996). The longer 5-HT treatment is likely to have recruited processes involved in intermediate-term facilitation (Sutton et al., 2001), and postsynaptic processes may play a more significant role in intermediate-term than in short-term facilitation. The indication from our results that exclusively presynaptic facilitation is relatively brief (below) supports this idea. But this explanation does not account for the results from our experiments involving nerve shock, which used a standard training protocol. Moreover, postsynaptic mechanisms have not been implicated previously in cellular studies of (activity-independent) intermediate-term facilitation, although there is evidence for a role for postsynaptic mechanisms in long-term facilitation (Glanzman et al., 1990; Sherff and Carew, 2004). An ambiguity inherent in many previous studies of synaptic facilitation in *Aplysia* arises from the use of cell membrane-permeant inhibitors, of protein kinase A (PKA) or PKC, for example, to disrupt intracel-
lular signaling pathways. These inhibitors have frequently been applied to sensorimotor cocultures or to central ganglia (Ghirardi et al., 1992; Nakanishi et al., 1997; Sutton et al., 2004). Blockade of synaptic facilitation resulting from application of the inhibitors has been previously interpreted as evidence for the involvement of specific presynaptic signaling pathways in facilitation; however, the results might actually reflect, at least in part, disruption of postsynaptic facilitatory pathways. Even in those instances in which disruption of facilitation has been produced by presynaptic injection of cell membrane-impermeant inhibitors, the available results do not permit one to distinguish between a direct modulatory effect of 5-HT (or a sensitizing stimulus) on a presynaptic cellular pathway and an indirect effect attributable to stimulation of a retrograde signal that actually originates in the motor neuron (Antonov et al., 2003; Roberts and Glanzman, 2003b).

Using data from the present experiments, we have estimated the relative contributions of presynaptic and postsynaptic processes to 5-HT-dependent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse (Fig. 10A). Our estimate indicates that exclusively presynaptic facilitation has a rapid onset, peaking ≤5 min after the introduction of 5-HT. The putative presynaptic component of facilitation, although robust while 5-HT is present in the bath, declines rapidly after the drug is washed out. By 15 min after 5-HT washout, exclusively presynaptic processes no longer make a major contribution to facilitation. In contrast, the postsynaptic component has a slow onset but persists after washout of 5-HT, exhibiting little decrement for the next 40 min. Beginning at ~5 min after washout of 5-HT, the postsynaptic component makes the dominant contribution to facilitation. For comparison, we also present data from the experiments of Chitwood et al. (2001); these data depict facilitation of the glutamate-evoked response in isolated motor neurons in cell culture. Both the time course and magnitude of this unambiguously "postsynaptic" form of facilitation parallel our estimate of the postsynaptic component of facilitation from the present experiments. This parallel reinforces our conclusion that persistent facilitation of the sensorimotor synapse, at least for the first hour after 5-HT application, is mediated primarily by postsynaptic processes. Our data suggest a model (Fig. 10B) in which purely presynaptic facilitatory mechanisms predominate during a very early stage of facilitation (≤5–10 min after the onset of 5-HT). Thereafter, postsynaptic facilitatory processes predominate.

Byrne and Kandel (1996) have proposed that persistent activation of presynaptic PKC might account for persistent facilitation produced by prolonged applications (>5 min) of 5-HT. Our data indicate that PKC activation attributable exclusively to presynaptic signaling pathways makes, at most, a minor contribution to prolonged facilitation of sensorimotor synapses. The data are consistent, however, with a role for postsynaptic PKC (Vil-lareal et al., 2003) and for presynaptic PKC activated by a retrograde signal. Much of the previous data taken as evidence that activation of presynaptic PKC mediates persistent facilitation in Aplysia are actually ambiguous with respect to whether the critical site of PKC activity is presynaptic or postsynaptic. In particular, biochemical assays that demonstrate prolonged activation of PKC by 5-HT have used central ganglia that contain motor neurons and interneurons as well as sensory neurons (Sossin and Schwartz, 1992; Sossin et al., 1994), or the entire CNS (Sutton et al., 2004). Manseau et al. (2001) have reported that injection of mutant "dominant-negative" PKC constructs into sensory neurons blocks short-term facilitation (lasting <5 min) of sensorimotor synapses. This result does not contradict the present findings, which do not exclude a significant role for presynaptic mechanisms in very early facilitation (Fig. 10).

Tail shock is used as the unconditioned stimulus (US) in classical conditioning in Aplysia (Carew et al., 1981, 1983). There-
fore, Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent enhancement of postsynaptic AMPA receptor function may partly mediate classical conditioning. Consistent with this idea, injection of BAPTA into the postsynaptic motor neuron has been shown to block conditioning-related enhancement of sensorimotor synapses (Murphy and Glanzman, 1996; Bao et al., 1998). Furthermore, injection of BAPTA into siphon motor neurons reduces conditioned enhancement of the SWR itself (Antonov et al., 2003). Previously, the site of convergence for the cellular processes triggered by US-induced release of glutamate and the US-induced cellular processes. The postsynaptic interaction of 5-HT-dependent and NMDA receptor-dependent mechanisms may therefore make a crucial contribution to classical conditioning in *Aplysia* (Roberts and Glanzman, 2003b).
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