C-Terminal Tetrapeptides Inhibit Aβ42-Induced Neurotoxicity Primarily through Specific Interaction at the N-Terminus of Aβ42
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ABSTRACT: Inhibition of amyloid β-protein (Aβ)-induced toxicity is a promising therapeutic strategy for Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Previously, we reported that the C-terminal tetrapeptide Aβ(39−42) is a potent inhibitor of neurotoxicity caused by Aβ42, the form of Aβ most closely associated with AD. Here, initial structure−activity relationship studies identified key structural requirements, including chirality, side-chain structure, and a free N-terminus, which control Aβ(39−42) inhibitory activity. To elucidate the binding site(s) of Aβ(39−42) on Aβ42, we used intrinsic tyrosine (Y) fluorescence and solution-state NMR. The data suggest that Aβ(39−42) binds at several sites, of which the predominant one is located in the N-terminus of Aβ42, in agreement with recent modeling predictions. Thus, despite the small size of Aβ(39−42) and the hydrophobic, aliphatic nature of all four side-chains, the interaction of Aβ(39−42) with Aβ42 is controlled by specific intermolecular contacts requiring a combination of hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions and a particular stereochemistry.

INTRODUCTION

Neurotoxic oligomers of amyloid β-protein (Aβ) are believed to be the main cause of Alzheimer’s disease (AD).1−4 Two predominant forms of Aβ, comprising 40 (Aβ40) or 42 (Aβ42) amino acid residues, are produced in vivo. Aβ42 has been shown to be more neurotoxic than Aβ405 and to follow a different pathway of oligomerization.6,7 Aβ42 forms higher-order metastable oligomers than Aβ40, and this tendency correlates with structural stabilization of the C-terminus of Aβ42 mediated by the presence of I41 and A42.6,8−10

Inhibition of Aβ aggregation by short peptides derived from the sequence of Aβ itself has been used by a number of groups, primarily along the idea of “β-sheet breaker” peptides that interfere with formation of the characteristic β-sheet-rich amyloid fibrils. The most utilized sequence for this line of investigation has been the central hydrophobic cluster of Aβ (CHC, residues 17−21), which is a key region in Aβ fibrillogenesis.17 Utilizing a similar strategy, recently, rationally designed aminopyrazole-based β-sheet breakers were found to inhibit Aβ assembly and toxicity, with the most effective inhibitor being a conjugate of aminopyrazole and the CHC-derived sequence LPFFD.18 Using a different Aβ region for inhibitor design, modified Aβ42 C-terminal fragments, GVVIA-NH2 and RVVIA-NH2, were designed as β-sheet breakers and partially protected SH-SYSY neuroblastoma cells from Aβ42 neurotoxicity in cell viability19 but not electrophysiological assays.20 In a different study, hexapeptides derived from Aβ(32−37) with varying extent of N-methylation were found to retard β-sheet and fibril formation and reduce Aβ neurotoxicity.21

As evidence emerged ascribing pathogenic primacy to Aβ oligomers rather than fibrils,22 inhibitor-design efforts have shifted toward inhibition of Aβ oligomerization. Guided by the principle of self-recognition and considering the critical role of the C-terminal region of Aβ42 in self-assembly,6,8 we prepared C-terminal fragments (CTFs) of the general formula Aβ(x−42), x = 28−39, and evaluated their capability to disrupt the assembly and neurotoxicity of Aβ42.23 Of the 12 CTFs tested, the shortest one, Aβ(39−42), had surprisingly high activity. Aβ(39−42) was found to inhibit Aβ42-induced neurotoxicity in differentiated rat pheochromocytoma (PC-12) cells with half-maximal (IC50) values of 16 ± 5 and 47 ± 14 μM using the (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyloxazole) bromide (MTT) reduction and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assays, respectively.23 In addition, Aβ(39−42) significantly rescued mouse primary hippocampal neurons from Aβ42-induced inhibition of miniature excitatory postsynaptic current frequency.24 The data suggested that Aβ(39−42) inhibited Aβ42-induced toxicity both in the early stage of synaptic activity and in later stages of metabolism deficits and cell death.
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In follow-up dynamic light scattering studies, we found that Aβ(39–42) stabilized oligomers with a hydrodynamic radius of 6 ± 3 nm and 30 ± 10 nm, which we interpreted as resulting from formation of heterooligomers comprising both Aβ/42 and Aβ(39–42).23,24 Computer modeling of Aβ(39–42) coassembled with Aβ/42 supported the formation of heterooligomers and suggested that Aβ(39–42) binds near the N-terminus, Aβ(2–4), and sequesters this region from the aqueous milieu.23,25

The amphipathic nature and small size of Aβ(39–42) make its pharmacokinetic characteristics close to recommended values of drug-like criteria, including Lipinski’s Rule of 526 and topological polar surface area (tPSA)27 (Table 1), supporting its development as a drug lead. Toward this end, here we performed structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies to delineate structural features important for inhibitory activity and characterized the binding of Aβ(39–42) to Aβ/42 using intrinsic fluorescence and two-dimensional (2D) solution-state NMR. The data suggest that Aβ(39–42) protects cells against Aβ/42-induced toxicity predominantly via specific interaction at the N-terminus of Aβ/42.

### RESULTS

**Structure–Activity Relationship Study of Aβ(39–42).** To guide future rational development of Aβ(39–42) as a drug lead, we asked what structural characteristics were important for the inhibitory activity and what specific interactions controlled the binding of Aβ(39–42) to Aβ/42. In search of the answers for these questions, we synthesized a series of Aβ(39–42) derivatives, including A substitution of the first three residues (AVIA, VAIA, VVAA), an inverso-peptide (vvia, lower-case letters represent D-configuration), the N-terminally and C-terminally protected analogues Ac-VVIA, VVIA-NH₂, a retro-peptide (AIVV), and N-terminally and C-terminally protected versions of the retro-peptide (Ac-AIVV, AIVV-NH₂) (Table 2).

We began evaluating the new derivatives by testing if these peptides themselves were toxic to differentiated PC-12 cells using the MTT assay. The results showed that all the derivatives were not toxic (Figure 1, white bars). Notably, Aβ(39–42) and the analogues, AVIA, VAIA, VVIA-NH₂, AIVV, and AIVV-NH₂ caused a significant increase of 10–35% in cell viability relative to control cells.

Next, we screened the Aβ(39–42) derivatives for inhibition of Aβ/42-induced neurotoxicity in single-dose experiments. Differentiated PC-12 cells were incubated with Aβ/42 for 24 h in the absence or presence of 10-fold excess of each derivative, and cell viability was assessed using the MTT assay. Among the nine derivatives tested, the same five analogues that increased cell viability on their own showed statistically significant attenuation of Aβ/42-induced toxicity (Figure 1, black bars), similar to the parent peptide. The A-substituted sequences, AVIA and VAIA, showed similar inhibitory activity to that of

### Table 1. Physicochemical Characteristics of Aβ(39–42) and Topological Polar Surface Area (tPSA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>criterion</th>
<th>Lipinski’s rule of 5</th>
<th>Aβ(39–42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
<td>400.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClogP</td>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>≤0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-bond donors</td>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-bond acceptors</td>
<td>≤10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tPSA (Å²)</td>
<td>≤14027</td>
<td>150.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Sequences, Masses, and IC₅₀ Values of Aβ(39–42) and Derivatives

| sequence | calculated mass [M + H] (observed mass) IC₅₀ (µM) (MTT) IC₅₀ (µM) (LDH) |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| VVIA     | 401.5 (401.2)                                   | 21 ± 6         | 16 ± 3         |
| AVIA     | 373.5 (373.0)                                   | 53 ± 10        | 22 ± 5         |
| VAIA     | 373.5 (373.2)                                   | 15 ± 3         | 14 ± 2         |
| VVAA     | 359.4 (359.1)                                   | nd             | nd             |
| vvia     | 401.5 (401.2)                                   | nd             | nd             |
| Ac-VVIA  | 443.6 (443.4)                                   | nd             | nd             |
| VVIA-NH₂ | 400.5 (400.1)                                   | 28 ± 7         | 30 ± 5         |
| AIVV     | 401.5 (401.3)                                   | 14 ± 1         | 22 ± 3         |
| Ac-AIVV  | 443.5 (443.1)                                   | nd             | nd             |
| AIVV-NH₂ | 400.5 (400.2)                                   | 20 ± 4         | 16 ± 3         |

*Lower-case letters represent D-configuration. nd: not determined.

Figure 1. Evaluation of inhibitory activity of Aβ(39–42) analogues. Aβ/42 (10 µM, gray bar), mixtures of Aβ/42:Aβ(39–42) analogues at 1:10 concentration ratio (black bars), Aβ(39–42) analogues alone at 100 µM (white bars), or control medium containing NaOH at the same concentration as in the peptide solutions (dotted bar) were incubated with differentiated PC-12 cells for 24 h and cell viability was measured using the MTT assay. The data are shown as mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments with six replicates per data point (n ≥ 18). Statistical significance was calculated and compared with Aβ/42 alone by using ANOVA followed by Dennett’s multiple-comparison tests (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001), NS = non-significant.

Aβ(39–42), whereas VVAA lost inhibitory activity suggesting that the side-chains of V39 (full-length Aβ numbering) and V40 were relatively insensitive to structural changes, but the side-chain of I41 was important for inhibition. However, the observation that inhibitory activity was maintained in the retro sequence, AIVV, suggested that a bulky hydrophobic side-chain in position 41, such as I or V, might be sufficient for the inhibitory activity. The loss of activity in the inverso-peptide (vvia) indicated that the chirality of Aβ(39–42) was required for inhibition of toxicity. The analogues in which the N-terminus was acetylated, Ac-VVIA and Ac-AIVV, showed no inhibitory activity, whereas the analogues with amidated C-terminus, VVIA-NH₂ and AIVV-NH₂, were as active as Aβ(39–42).
indicating that a free N-terminal amino group was essential for the activity, whereas the C-terminus could be modified to provide protection from carboxyexopeptidases. Further characterization showed that all the active derivatives inhibited Aβ\(_{42}\)-induced toxicity dose-dependently (Table 2 and Supporting Information Figure S1). The differences among the IC\(_{50}\) values of the Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\) derivatives in the MTT assay were relatively small and not statistically significant, except for the IC\(_{50}\) of AVIA, 53 ± 10 µM, which was significantly higher (\(p = 0.0081\), Student’s t test) than that of Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\), 21 ± 6 µM. The differences among the IC\(_{50}\) values found in the LDH assay for all the Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\) derivatives were statistically insignificant.

Figure 2. Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\) selectively inhibits Aβ\(_{42}\)-induced toxicity. Aβ\(_{42}\) (10 µM) or staurosporine (ST, 0.2 µM) in the absence or presence of different Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\) concentrations were incubated with differentiated PC-12 cells for 24 h and cell viability was determined using MTT assay, and (B) incubated with differentiated PC-12 cells for 48 h and cell death was measured using LDH assay. (C) α-Synuclein (15 µM), alamethicin (4 µM), or Aβ\(_{42}\) (10 µM) in the absence or presence of different Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\) concentrations were incubated with differentiated PC-12 cells for 24 h, and viability was determined using MTT assay. The data represent mean ± SEM from at least three independent experiments with five replicates per data point (n ≥ 15).

Figure 3. Intrinsic fluorescence of Aβ\(_{42}\) analogues in the presence of Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\) or its derivatives. (A) Primary structure of Aβ\(_{42}\) and its Y-substituted analogues. Hyphens indicate residues identical to WT Aβ\(_{42}\). In WT Aβ\(_{42}\), Y is at position 10. The substitutions, in which a single Y residue substituted the original residues at positions (n) 1, 20, 30, or 42, and the native Y10 was substituted by F, are simply named [Yn]Aβ\(_{42}\). (B) Representative electron micrograph of freshly prepared 5 µM Aβ\(_{42}\). The scale bar represents 100 nm. (C) Intrinsic fluorescence intensity of 5 µM Aβ\(_{42}\) and each Y-substituted analogue. (D) Intrinsic fluorescence of Aβ\(_{42}\) analogues in the presence of Aβ\(_{(39-42)}\), Ac-VVIA, or VVIA-NH\(_2\) normalized to the percentage of each Aβ\(_{42}\) analogue alone. The data are shown as mean ± SEM of four independent experiments with at least 10 measurements per data point. Statistical significance was analyzed using ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s and Dennett’s multiple-comparison tests (\(*p<0.05\), \(**p<0.001\)).
Aj(39–42) Specifically Inhibits Aβ42-Induced Toxicity. Because Aj(39–42) and some of its analogues caused increased cell viability relative to cells treated with cell culture medium alone, we asked whether the observed inhibition of Aβ42-induced toxicity was mediated, at least partially, by a mechanism that did not involve interaction with Aβ42. To address this question, we compared the effect of Aj(39–42) on neurotoxicity induced by Aβ42 and several other toxins. For initial examination we used staurosporine, a nonselective protein-kinase inhibitor that induces apoptosis in multiple cell types.

Differentiated PC-12 cells treated with 0.2 μM staurosporine or 10 μM Aj(39–42) showed similar decrease in cell viability in both the MTT (Figure 2A) and the LDH (Figure 2B) assays. As expected, Aj(39–42) showed dose-dependent inhibition of Aβ42-induced toxicity. In contrast, Aj(39–42) had no effect on staurosporine-induced cell death.

One mechanism by which Aβ42 is thought to cause toxicity is disruption of the cell membrane leading to leakage of ions and/or other metabolites, either due to formation of non-specific channels or via perturbation of the phospholipid bilayer conductance without channel formation. To examine whether Aj(39–42) protected the cells against membrane perturbation, we examined next its ability to protect against alamethicin, a fungal peptide antibiotic, which potently induces voltage-dependent ion channel formation in phospholipid membranes. In addition, we used another amyloidogenic protein, α-synuclein, for which similar mechanisms of toxicity to Aβ42 have been reported. Differentiated PC-12 cells treated with 15 μM α-synuclein, 4 μM alamethicin, or 10 μM Aj(39–42) showed similar decrease in cell viability in MTT assay (Figure 2C). Addition of increasing concentrations of Aj(39–42) resulted in dose-dependent inhibition of the toxicity induced by Aβ42, as observed in previous experiments (Figure 2A and Supporting Information Figure S1). In contrast, only weak protection from α-synuclein- or alamethicin-induced toxicity was observed, suggesting that non-specific protection was a minor component of the inhibitory effect of Aj(39–42), whereas the major mechanism was mediated through direct and specific interaction with Aβ42.

Binding Site(s) of Aj(39–42) on Aβ42. Originally, the hypothesis that led us to examine Aj42 CTFs as inhibitors of Aβ42 assembly and toxicity was based on the principle of self-recognition and we predicted that the CTFs would bind to the C-terminus of Aβ42. However, our previous investigation of the mode of interaction between the CTFs and Aβ42 suggested that different CTFs might inhibit Aβ42-induced toxicity by distinct mechanisms and might bind Aβ42 at sites other than the C-terminus. Therefore, here we used two complementary methods to elucidate the binding site(s) of Aj(39–42), the shortest CTF in original series, on Aβ42.

Characterization of the Interaction between Aj(39–42) and Aβ42 by Intrinsic Y Fluorescence. Elucidation of binding sites for inhibitors of aberrant protein self-assembly is a difficult task because the self-assembly typically occurs among disordered monomers and produces metastable oligomers in which the degree of order still is low. To explore potential binding site(s) of Aj(39–42) on Aβ42, we took advantage of the intrinsic fluorescence of Y residues, which enables rapid signal detection at low concentrations under which minimal or no aggregation occurs during the time of the experiment (~30 min), thus measuring binding to monomers and low-order oligomers.

In addition to wild-type (WT) Aβ42, in which a single Y residue is at position 10, we used analogues in which Y substituted the original residues at positions 1, 20, 30, or 42, and the native Y10 was substituted by the fluorometrically silent F. The sequences of WT Aβ42 and its Y-substituted analogues are shown in Figure 3A. These analogues were used previously to study Aβ42 folding and assembly. Morphological studies by electron microscopy (EM) showed that all the Y-substituted Aβ42 analogues formed fibrils, and the fibril morphologies observed were similar to those formed by WT Aβ42. Secondary structure dynamics examination by circular dichromism spectroscopy showed that qualitatively all the Y-substituted analogues were predominately disordered initially and then displayed characteristic statistical coil to α-helix to β-sheet transitions during oligomerization and fibril formation.

Exposure to the aqueous milieu is known to decrease Y fluorescence intensity without altering the wavelength of maximum emission (λmax). In addition, the fluorescence of the phenol group in the Y side-chain can be quenched by exposure to hydrated carbonyl groups or through hydrogen-bond formation with peptide carbonyls or with carbonate groups in aspartate or glutamate side-chains. In our experimental system, an increase in Y fluorescence upon addition of Aj(39–42) would suggest a decrease in solvent exposure, possibly indicating binding of the tetrapeptide to, or in the vicinity of, the Y residue. Alternatively, an increase in fluorescence could be interpreted as arising from increase in intra- or intermolecular interactions within or between Aβ42 monomers, respectively. However, we reasoned that changes in fluorescence resulting from global folding and/or assembly of Aβ42 likely would affect Y residues in multiple positions, whereas specific, local binding of Aj(39–42) would lead to increased Y fluorescence only in a specific position.

To minimize Aβ aggregation during the assay, all the samples were pretreated with 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP), and measurement of fluorescence was initiated immediately following rehydration. In addition, aliquots were monitored by EM. During the time of fluorescence measurements (~30 min), all the peptides formed quasi globular structures with diameters ranging from ~7 to 15 nm and no fibrils were observed. A representative electron micrograph of Aβ42 prepared under these conditions is shown in Figure 3B. The fluorescence intensity of Aβ42 and its Y-substituted analogues is shown in Figure 3C. Consistent with a previous report, the observed trend suggested that the degree of exposure to the aqueous solvent decreased gradually from the N- to the C-terminus.

The fluorescence intensity of Aβ42 analogues (5 μM) mixed with Aj(39–42) (50 μM) is shown in the second bar of each group in Figure 3D. To facilitate the comparison among the five Aβ42 analogues, the fluorescence intensity in the presence of Aj(39–42) in each case was normalized to the fluorescence of the analogue in the absence of Aj(39–42) (first bar of each group in Figure 3D). We found that upon addition of Aj(39–42), the fluorescence of [Y1]Aβ42 increased by 117 ± 3%. In contrast, the fluorescence of WT Aβ42, [Y20]Aβ42, [Y30]Aβ42, and [Y42]Aβ42 did not change significantly upon addition of Aj(39–42). These results suggested that Aj(39–42) bound mainly at the N-terminus of Aβ42.

Because the N-terminus of Aβ contains several charged residues, we hypothesized that the charged amino and carboxyl groups in Aj(39–42) might be important for its binding to the N-terminus of Aβ42. To test this hypothesis, we examined the
effect of Aβ(39−42) analogues in which the N- or C-termini were blocked by acetylation (Ac-VVIA) or amidation (VVIA-NH₂), respectively, on Y fluorescence of Aβ(42) and its Y-substituted analogues. Representative spectra are shown in Supporting Information Figure S2. The results are shown in the third and fourth bars of each group in Figure 3D, respectively. Upon addition of Ac-VVIA or VVIA-NH₂, the fluorescence of [Y1]Aβ42 increased by 48 ± 3% and 62 ± 6%, respectively. These values were 2.4- and 1.8-times lower than with unmodified Aβ(39−42), suggesting that both the carboxyl and amino groups of Aβ(39−42) contributed to the interaction with Aβ42. The larger loss of affinity caused by blocking the N-terminus relative to blocking the C-terminus of Aβ(39−42) is consistent with the loss of inhibitory activity observed for the N-terminally acetylated analogue (Figure 1). Small effects on fluorescence were observed in two other positions. The fluorescence of Aβ42 decreased by 19 ± 3% upon addition of Ac-VVIA, and the fluorescence of [Y30]Aβ42 decreased by 24 ± 3% upon addition of VVIA-NH₂. These data suggested that removing either one of the charges in Aβ(39−42) decreased the affinity of the peptide for the putative Aβ42 N-terminal binding site and increased affinity for alternative binding sites.

Figure 4. Aβ(39−42) binding site on Aβ42 determined by solution-state 2D NMR. Aβ42 resonances were measured in the absence (red) or presence (cyan) of 8-fold excess Aβ(39−42). (A) 2D 13C−1H HSQC spectrum of Aβ42 in the absence or presence of Aβ(39−42) at 4 °C. Hβ(Cβ)CO experiments detected upfield movement and reduced intensity of chemical shifts for the side-chains of D1, D7, and D23 upon addition of Aβ(39−42). (B) 2D 15N−1H HSQC spectra of Aβ42 in the absence or presence of Aβ(39−42) detected upfield movement and reduced intensity of chemical shifts for the Nε-Hε crosspeak of R5.
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Analysis of the interaction of other Aβ(39–42) analogues with [Y1]Aβ42 using internal Y fluorescence showed that the fluorescence increase induced by the N-terminally acetylated and C-terminally amidated retro sequences (Ac-AIVV and AIVV-NH₂), and by the inverso-peptide (vvia) also were significantly lower than those of Aβ(39–42) (Supporting Information Figure S3), in agreement with the low inhibitory activity of these analogues. Not all the fluorescence results correlated directly with the inhibition data presented in Figure 1, presumably because small sequence perturbation might affect the binding mode of the tetrapeptide derivatives. Exploring the binding sites of all the derivatives was beyond the scope of this study, which was limited to delineation of the major binding sites of the lead compound and the effect of the structural changes that were found to have the greatest effect on the inhibitory activity, namely the charge and chirality in Aβ(39–42) analogues, which also had the greatest effect on binding to the N-terminal region of Aβ42.

**Solution-State NMR Characterization of the Interaction between Aβ(39–42) and Aβ42.** To complement the intrinsic fluorescence experiments, we used solution-state, 15N-1H and 13C-1H heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) NMR experiments, which enable detection of residue-specific signal perturbation upon binding of unlabeled Aβ(39–42) to 15N- or 13C-15N-labeled Aβ42. In preliminary experiments, the NMR signal was observed to decrease gradually over 24 h due to self-assembly of Aβ42, as reported previously. Nonetheless, during the relatively short time needed for acquiring HSQC spectra (~37 min), perturbation of specific resonances upon addition of Aβ(39–42) could be observed.

The chemical shifts of most of the amino acid residues in Aβ42 remained unchanged in the presence of 8-fold molar excess Aβ(39–42) with the exceptions of small changes in D and R side chains. 2D H²(C¹)CO experiments optimized to detect D side chains were collected to identify and monitor the interaction of these side chains with Aβ(39–42). As shown in Figure 4A, the H-¹³C signal of the D7 and D23 side-chains showed a small shift and decreased in intensity upon addition of Aβ(39–42) to Aβ42. The resonances for the D1 side chain were isolated from D7 and D23 side-chain resonances and appeared as multiple cross-peaks likely due to slow chemical exchange. The side-chain resonance of RS also was perturbed upon addition of Aβ(39–42) to Aβ42 as shown by a small upfield shift and decreased intensity of the N-¹H crosspeak in 15N-¹H HSQC (Figure 4B). The data suggested that Aβ(39–42) bound weakly, yet specifically to Aβ42 at positions near the charged residues D1, RS, and D7 at the N-terminus, as well as near D23.

To further explore the binding, we studied the interaction of two analogues that showed weak or moderate inhibition of Aβ42-induced toxicity (Figure 1) and increase in [Y1]Aβ42 fluorescence (Figure 3D and Supporting Information Figure S3), Ac-VVIA and vvia, in NMR binding experiment. The chemical shift changes of D1, D7, and D23 found upon addition of Ac-VVIA (Supporting Information Figure S4) and vvia (inverso-peptide) (Supporting Information Figure S5) were small, similar to those induced by VVIA. These results were consistent with the toxicity inhibition and intrinsic fluorescence data, but the small magnitude of the effects observed in the NMR experiments did not allow drawing further conclusions regarding the binding site(s) of the tetrapeptide derivatives on Aβ42.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Aβ(39–42) is a promising inhibitor of Aβ42-induced toxicity, which unlike most peptide-based drug leads, has favorable physicochemical characteristics. For future development of this peptide lead toward metabolically stable peptidomimetic derivatives, a detailed understanding of its mechanism of action is needed. Here, using a combination of cell cultural and biophysical methods, we found that the major mechanism by which Aβ(39–42) inhibits Aβ42-induced toxicity is through specific interaction with Aβ42, in agreement with computer modeling predictions,25 DLS,23,24 and ion-mobility-spectrometry–mass-spectrometry findings.40 In addition, Aβ(39–42) showed a weak, nonspecific protective effect. Interestingly, contrary to our initial hypothesis, the binding of Aβ(39–42) appears to occur predominantly at the N-terminus of Aβ42.

Determination of the binding site of compounds that inhibit Aβ assembly and toxicity is challenging because of the difficulties associated with high-resolution structural study of Aβ itself. Co-crystals of Aβ with inhibitors are difficult to obtain, and the metastable character of Aβ oligomers does not lend itself easily to high-resolution structure determination. The combination of our SAR (Figure 1), fluorescence (Figure 3), and NMR (Figure 4) data, and the weak effect of Aβ(39–42) on alamethicin- or α-synuclein-induced toxicity (Figure 2) all suggest that Aβ(39–42) binds to Aβ42 specifically, predominantly at the N-terminus.

Multiple findings support an important role for the N-terminus of Aβ in mediating assembly and toxicity. Two familial AD-linked mutations resulting in the English (H6R)41 and Tottori (D7N)45 variants were found to stabilize ordered secondary structural elements in Aβ monomers, facilitate Aβ oligomerization, and produce oligomeric assemblies that are larger and are more toxic than those of WT Aβ.43 In addition, a double substitution of the first two N-terminal residues of Aβ, D1E/A2V, increases protofibril formation substantially.44 Thus, the N-terminus region plays an important role in Aβ assembly and toxicity, suggesting that small molecule binding in this region may inhibit Aβ toxicity. In addition, N-terminally truncated Aβ analogues, particularly those containing an N-terminal pyroglutamate (pE), e.g., [pE3]Aβ or [pE11]Aβ, were found in senile plaques and have been reported to form β-sheet faster and with higher propensity,45,46 and to be more toxic than WT Aβ.47,48 One mechanism by which Aβ(39–42) may reduce Aβ42 toxicity is by masking putative enzymatic cleavage sites and thereby preventing the truncation of the N-terminus.

The observation that the N-terminally acetylated Aβ(39–42) analogues, Ac-VVIA and Ac-AIVV, did not inhibit Aβ42-induced toxicity suggests that electrostatic interactions between the unprotected, positively charged N-terminal amino group of Aβ(39–42) and negatively charged side-chain groups in Aβ42 might be important for inhibitory activity. The observation of small chemical shift changes in the resonances of D1 and D7, but not E3 (Figure 4A), supports the specificity of the binding. An alternative explanation for the lack of inhibition by the acetylated peptides may be creation of specific degradation signals (degrons),49 leading to rapid proteolysis of Ac-VVIA and Ac-AIVV. However, the large difference between the perturbation of the intrinsic fluorescence of [Y1]Aβ42 by free and acetylated analogues (Figure 3D and Supporting Information Figure S3) supports an important role for Coulombic interaction involving the amino group of Aβ(39–42) and negatively charged side chains in the N-terminus of
and suggest that degradation is unlikely the reason for the low inhibition by the acetylated analogues. The observations that amiation of the C-terminus also lowered perturbation of \([Y1]Aβ42\) fluorescence (Figure 3D) and of a chemical shift and intensity change in the resonance of \(R5\) (Figure 4B) provide additional support for contribution of specific electrostatic interactions between Aβ(39–42) and the N-terminal region of Aβ42.

In addition to the electrostatic interactions found here, modeling studies have suggested that the hydrophobic residues A2 and F4 are important for interaction of Aβ with cellular membranes and potential inhibitors.\(^5\) Our data also support an amphiphilic character for the interaction between Aβ(39–42) and the N-terminus of Aβ42. Thus, the SAR experiments (Figure 1) show that both the hydrophobic side chain at position 41 and the charged N-terminal amino group are important for inhibitory activity.

The C-terminus of Aβ42 is predicted to be shielded to a large extent from the aqueous milieu in Aβ oligomers, a prediction supported by multiple studies,\(^6\) and by the high fluorescence of the Y residues in \([Y30]Aβ42\) and \([Y42]Aβ42\) (Figure 3C). Thus, the observation that the fluorescence of \([Y30]Aβ42\) and \([Y42]Aβ42\) did not change significantly upon addition of Aβ(39–42) may result from lower accessibility of the C-terminal region of Aβ42 to the tetrapeptide. Alternatively, Aβ(39–42) may bind the C-terminus without causing substantial change in Y fluorescence because the overall hydrophobicity in the vicinity of the Y side-chain does not change significantly. However, we did not observe any perturbation of NMR resonances in the C-terminal region of Aβ42 in the presence of 8-fold molar excess Aβ(39–42), suggesting low probability of binding of the tetrapeptide in this region.

Notably, we observed an increase in the fluorescence emission of Aβ42 analogues and their mixtures with tetrapeptides in wavelengths longer than the Y emission window (Supporting Information Figure S2). This increase in emission likely is due to light scattering and presumably reflects promotion of Aβ42 amyloid aggregates by the tetrapeptides, as we observed previously in DLS experiments.\(^7\) Although further work will be required to elucidate the exact binding mode of Aβ(39–42) to Aβ42, our data demonstrate the specificity of this tetrapeptide as an inhibitor of Aβ42-induced toxicity and shed light on the mechanism by which Aβ(39–42) binds to Aβ42 and blocks its toxicity. The current study provides structural basis for future development of effective and stable peptidomimetic inhibitors of Aβ42 neurotoxicity as potential AD therapeutics.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### Chemicals and Reagents.

9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc)-protected amino acids and Novasyn TGA resin were purchased from Novabiochem (Gibbstown, NJ). Wang and PAL resins and all other reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and were of the highest purity available. High-purity water (182 MΩ) was obtained using a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA).

### Peptide Synthesis.

Synthesis, purification, and characterization of Aβ42 and Aβ42 analogues with Y substituted at positions 1, 20, 30, and 42 and F substituted at position 10 were carried out as described previously,\(^8\) purified using reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), and characterized by MS and amino acid analysis (AAA).

Aβ(39–42) and its derivatives were synthesized using a Discover microwave-assisted synthesis system (CEM, Matthews, NC) using the following general protocol: Fmoc-protected, preloaded Novasyn TGA resin or PAL resin (0.1 mmol) was placed in a peptide synthesis vessel, swollen in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), and deprotected with 5 mL of 20% piperidine (or 4-methylpiperidine) in DMF for 20 min at room temperature. After washing with DMF thrice, a mixed solution of 0.3 mmol Fmoc-AAA-OOH, 0.3 mmol 2-(1H-benzotriazole-1-y1)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate, and 0.6 mmol N,N-diisopropylthyleamine in 4 mL of DMF was added to the reaction vessel. The coupling reaction was performed using 40 W microwave energy for 8 min at 50 °C, 2,4,6-Trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid test was applied to check for remaining free amino groups.\(^9\) Coupling efficiency was monitored by the formation of piperidine-dibenzofurulene or 4-methylpiperidine-dibenzofurulene using UV spectroscopy.\(^5,10\) Acetylation of the N-terminus of Ac-VVIA and Ac-AIVV was performed using acetic anhydride/pyridine (1:2 v/v). After completion of the sequence, the resin was thoroughly washed with DMF and then with dichloromethane, dried under vacuum, and the peptide was cleaved using a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid/1,2-ethanedithiol/H₂O (95:2.5:2.5). Peptides were precipitated by addition of cold diethyl ether and purified by RP-HPLC. The purity of all peptides was higher than 95% determined by analytical RP-HPLC. Peptides were further characterized by MS and AAA. The peptide sequences, calculated masses, and observed masses are listed in Table 2.

### Cell Viability Assays.

The methods for evaluation of the biological activity of the CTFs themselves and their inhibition of Aβ42-induced neurotoxicity were described previously.\(^7\) Briefly, PC12 cells were differentiated into a neuronal phenotype by incubation with nerve growth factor (100 ng/mL) for 48 h. For initial screening of the new analogues, the cells were then incubated with solutions of Aβ42 alone at 10 μM nominal concentration, Aβ(39–42) analogues alone at 100 μM nominal concentration, or Aβ42:Aβ(39–42) analogue mixtures at 1:10 concentration ratio, respectively, for 24 h. Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay using a CellTiter 96 kit (Promega, Madison, WI). Negative controls included NaOH at the same concentration as in the peptide solutions and medium alone. A positive control was 1 μM staurosporine for full kill, which was used to represent a 100% reduction in cell viability, based on which the percentage viability of all of the experimental conditions was calculated.

Active analogues were characterized further in dose–response experiments. In these experiments, Aβ42 alone and Aβ42:Aβ(39–42) analogue mixtures at 1:1, 1:2, 1:5, and 1:10, or 1:1, 1:3 and 1:10, concentration ratios were used and cell viability was determined by both the MTT assay and the LDH-release assay (CytoTox-ONE Homogenous Membrane Integrity Assay kit (Promega)). At least three independent experiments with six replicates (n ≥ 18) were performed for each assay. The results were averaged and presented as mean ± SEM. Dose–response assays for inhibition of staurosporine-, α-synuclein-, or alamethicin-induced toxicity by Aβ(39–42) were performed using a similar protocol.

### Intrinsic Fluorescence.

Aβ42 or its Y-substituted analogues in the absence or presence of Aβ(39–42) or its analogues were treated with HFIP as described previously.\(^7\) Dry, HFIP-treated peptide films were dissolved in 60 mM NaOH at 10% of the final volume and then diluted with 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, to the final nominal concentrations, Aβ42 at 5 μM and Aβ(39–42) or its analogues at 50 μM. Samples were centrifuged at 5000 g for 1 min to remove trace amount of dust particles that could interfere with the experiment due to light scattering. The exact concentration was determined post facto by AAA. Fluorescence was measured using a Hitachi F4500 spectrophluorometer (Hitachi Instruments, Rye, NH) with excitation at 280 nm and emission in the range 290–400 nm. At least 10 measurements of ~1 min each were taken immediately following sample preparation. All fluorescence measurements were carried out at 22 °C with a scan rate of 240 nm/min. Slit widths used for excitation and emission were 5 and 10 nm, respectively. The fluorescence emission spectrum of the phosphate buffer (background intensity) was subtracted from the emission spectrum of each sample. The area under the curve was calculated and normalized as the fluorescence intensity per micromole. Four independent experiments were carried out.

---
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results were averaged and are presented as mean ± SEM of fluoride intensity (arbitrary units) or percentage of the fluorescence intensity of control peptides.

**Electron Microscopy.** Morphological examination was performed as described briefly. Briefly, aliquots of each Aβ42 analogue in the absence or presence of Aβ(39–42) or its analogues were spotted on glow-discharged, carbon-coated Formvar grids (Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, PA). The samples were the same as those used in the fluorescence experiments. Samples were incubated for 10 min, fixed with 5 μL 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 10 min, and stained with 5 μL of 1% uranyl acetate for 10 min. Three to six replicates of each peptide were analyzed using a CX 100 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Peabody, MA).

**Solution-State NMR.** Uniformly isotopically labeled Aβ42 ([15N] or [13C/15N]) were purchased from rPeptide (Athens, GA) and AAA, amino acid analysis; Aβ, amyloid β-protein; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CHC, central hydrophobic cluster; CTF, C-terminal fragment; DMF, N,N-dimethylformamide; EM, electron microscopy; FMOC, 9-fluorenlymethoxycarbonyl; HFIP, 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol; HSQC, heteronuclear single quantum coherence; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MTT, (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; MS, mass spectrometry; RP-HPLC, reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography; SAR, structure—activity relationship; ST, staurosporine; tPSA, topological polar surface area; WT, wild-type
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